Attorney Docket 85143RLO Customer No. 01333

## REMARKS

Claims 33-40 were rejected under 35 USC 112. The examiner is correct and the formula in claim 33 has been corrected to be a dihydrophenazine. Claim 33 is now believed to be allowable along with its dependent claims.

Claims 2, 18 and 19 were rejected under 35 USC 102 (b) as being anticipated by Eguchi et al (JP 61-043689).

Claim 2 has been amended to improve its form. Also it should be noted that neither R9 and R10 can include hydrogen. The compound set forth in independent claim 2 is different than the compounds in Eguchi et al. On page 6, Eguchi et al list a general formula (I). As noted on page 7 of Eguchi et al the group of can include a large series of compounds one of which shown on the top of page 8 can be a dihydrophenazine. This is an obvious to try situation without any suggestion of the present invention. There are so many permutations and combinations that there cannot be a suggestion of the present invention. In any event, there is no suggestion of the structure in amended claim 2. On page 21, example 2, compound E of Eguchi et al, is not a dihydrophenazine. It is a phenazine and a different structure than the claimed dihydrophenazine compound. Applicants believe that Eguchi et al provide no motivation or suggestion for the structure of claim 2. Accordingly, claim 2 and the claims which depend upon it should be allowable.

In view of the foregoing, it is believed none of the references, taken singly or in combination, disclose the claimed invention. Accordingly, this application is believed to be in condition for allowance, the notice of which is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Attorney for Applicants Registration No. 22,363

Raymond L. Owens/das Rochester, NY 14650 Telephone 585-477-4653 Facsimile 585-477-4646

If the Examiner is unable to reach the Applicant(s) Attorney at the telephone number provided, the Examiner is requested to communicate with Eastman Kodak Company Patent Operations at (585) 477-4656.